IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G)
CIVIL REVISON NO 190 /2004

Single Bench

Applicant (Defendant No.1) : 1. Aalam Sai S/o Balakram, Aged about 35 years, By Caste, Rajwar, Occupation : Agriculturist, R/o Gorta, Tahsil Lakhanpur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

Versus

Non-Applicants No.1 to 5 (Plaintiff) :
1. Smt.Manmet D/o Somsai, aged about 70 years
2. Shri Loknath S/o Butul, Aged about 60 years
3. Shri Sukhram S/o Butul, Aged about 50 years
4. Smt.Manbasiya W/o Butul, Aged about 50 years
5. Shivnath S/o Butul, Aged about 50 years.

Non-Applicants 1 to 5 are by case Rajwar, Occupation Agriculturist, R/o Village Gorta, Tahsil Lakhanpur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

Formal Defendant :
6. Adal Ram S/o Balak Ram, Aged about 37 years
7. Kalam Sai S/o Balak Ram, Aged about 51 years
8. Smt.Dhar Parheen W/o Balak Ram, Aged about 60 years.
9. Smt.Bhitteen W/o Balak Ram, Aged about 55 years.
10. Smt.Gudul D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 40 years Sapna
11. Smt. Borbi D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 38 years Kenapara
12. Smt.Dilbaso Bai D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 35 years Puhputra
13. Sukhen D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 36 years, Dhodi
14. Smt.Munni Bai D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 32 years Rakeli.
15. Smt.Patti Bai D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 36 years.
16. Smt.Guddi Bai D/o Balak Ram, Aged about 20 years.
17. Smt.Rukhi D/o Alwa R/o Village Latori, Aged about 50 years Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)
18. Shri Ram Singh S/o Ganga Ram, Aged about 40 years, R/o Village Jodhpur, Dist : Surguja
19. Smt.Sukhmaniya W/o Alwa, Aged about 60 years, R/o Village Harratikra.

All are by caste Rajwar, By Occupation Agriculturist, R/o Village, Gorta, Tahsil Lakhanpur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 2362/04
Presented by Shri Rahul Mishra
dated 26/7/04

(5) (2)

CIVIL REVISION U/S 115 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 1908

XI-HC-78

(15)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... C.R. 190/04 ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20.12.2005 Shri Rahul Mishra, Counsel for the applicant. Shri Rakesh Tiwari, Counsel for the respondents. The matter is listed for hearing on maintainability of the revision. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw this revision on the ground that the same is not maintainable in view of the proviso to the Section 115 C.P.C. However, he prays for liberty to file appropriate proceedings available to him under the law. Accordingly, the revision is dismissed as not maintainable with liberty as prayed for. Sd/- DHIRENDRA MISHRA Judge	

Anjani